

# ON THE LAW OF THE ITERATED LOGARITHM FOR WEIGHTED SUMS OF INDEPENDENT RANDOM VARIABLES IN A BANACH SPACE

I. K. Matsak<sup>1</sup> and A. M. Plichko<sup>2</sup>

UDC 519.21

Assume that  $(X_n)$  are independent random variables in a Banach space,  $(b_n)$  is a sequence of real numbers,  $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i X_i$ , and  $B_n = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i^2$ . Under certain moment restrictions imposed on the variables  $X_n$ , the conditions for the growth of the sequence  $(b_n)$  are established, which are sufficient for the almost sure boundedness and precompactness of the sequence  $(S_n / (B_n \ln \ln B_n)^{1/2})$ .

## 1. Introduction and Principal Results

Let  $E$  be a separable Banach space with a norm  $\|\cdot\|$  and let  $E^*$  be its dual space. Denote by  $X_n$ ,  $n = 1, \infty$ ,  $E$ -valued independent random variables defined on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, P)$  [1, p. 201; 2]. Let  $b_n$ ,  $n = 1, \infty$ , be a sequence of real numbers,  $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i X_i$ ,  $B_n = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i^2$ , and let  $L(t) = \ln t$  for  $t > e$  and  $L(t) = 1$  for  $t \leq e$ . We also set  $L_2(t) = L(L(t))$  and  $\chi(t) = (2tL_2(t))^{1/2}$ .

We say that a sequence  $b_n X_n$  satisfies the law of the iterated logarithm if

$$\Lambda(b, X) = \limsup_n \frac{\|S\|}{\chi(B_n)} < \infty \quad (1)$$

almost surely (a.s.) and

$$\left\{ \frac{S_n}{\chi(B_n)}, n \geq 1 \right\} \quad (2)$$

is precompact in  $E$  almost surely.

Under the conditions imposed in the next paragraph, it follows from the 0 or 1 law that  $\Lambda(b, X)$  is a nonrandom variable.

A survey of the results and a fairly complete bibliography on the law of the iterated logarithm in Banach spaces can be found in [3–6]. In this paper, we extend to weighted sums some well-known results related to the law of the iterated logarithm for equally distributed random variables in a Banach space. In what follows, we suppose that  $MX_n = 0$ ,  $DX_n = (M\|X_n\|^2)^{1/2} < \infty$ ,  $B_n \uparrow \infty$  and  $B_n / b_n^2 \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Let

$$\Gamma(b, X) = \limsup_n M\|S_n\| / \chi(B_n)$$

and let  $\varepsilon_n$  be symmetric Bernoulli independent random variables,  $P(\varepsilon_n = \pm 1) = 1/2$ .

### Theorem 1. Assume that

<sup>1</sup> Institute of Light Industry, Kiev.

<sup>2</sup> Institute of Applied Problems of Mechanics and Mathematics, Ukrainian Academy of Sciences, L'vov.

$$b_n^2 = O(B_n / (L(B_n))^{(1+\delta)/(p-1)}), \tag{3}$$

$$\sup_n M \|X_n\|^{2p} < \infty \tag{4}$$

for some  $\delta > 0$  and  $1 < p < \infty$ . Then

$$\Gamma(b, X) \leq \Lambda(b, X) \leq d + \Gamma(b, X) \tag{5}$$

for  $d = \sup_n D X_n$ .

**Corollary 1.** Let  $\Pi_N$  be a sequence of linear bounded finite-dimensional operators in the space  $E$  and let  $Q_N x = x - \Pi_N x$ . If  $\Gamma(b, X) = 0$  and

$$\sup_n D(Q_N X_n) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad N \rightarrow \infty, \tag{6}$$

then, under the conditions of Theorem 1, the law of the iterated logarithm (1), (2) is valid.

Note an important specific case where condition (6) is satisfied. Let  $R: E^* \rightarrow E$  be the covariance operator of a random variable  $Y$ ,  $MY = 0$ ,  $DY < \infty$ , and let  $H_R$  be the Hilbert subspace of the space  $E$  associated with  $R$  [1, p. 126], i.e., the completion of  $R(E^*)$  in the norm of the scalar product  $\langle Rf, Rg \rangle = Mf(Y)g(Y)$ ,  $f, g \in E^*$ . Assume that  $(f_k) \subset E^*$  is a sequence, which is total in  $E$  and orthogonal with respect to the scalar product indicated above. If we set  $\Pi_n x = \sum_{k=1}^n f_k(x) Rf_k$ , then  $D(Q_n Y) \rightarrow 0$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore, if the variables  $X_n$  are equally distributed (and, hence, have the same covariance operator  $R$ ), then the sequence  $(f_k)$  satisfies condition (6).

For a random variable  $Y$  with a covariance operator  $R$ , we set

$$\sigma(R) = \sup \{ |\langle Rf, f \rangle|^{1/2} : \|f\| = 1 \} = \sup \{ (M|f(Y)^2|)^{1/2} : \|f\| = 1 \}.$$

**Theorem 2.** Under the conditions of Theorem 1, assume that the variables  $X_n$  have the same covariance operator  $R$  and that condition (6) is satisfied for the variables  $Q_N X_n = X_n - \sum_{k=1}^N f_k(X_n) Rf_k$ , where  $(f_k)$  is an orthogonal sequence total in  $E$ . Then

$$\max(\sigma(R), \Gamma(b, X)) \leq \Lambda(b, X) \leq \sigma(R) + \Gamma(b, X).$$

Recall that  $E$  is called a space of type 2 if, for any sequence  $(x_n) \in E$ , the condition  $\sum_1^\infty \|x_n\|^2 < \infty$  implies the almost sure convergence of the series  $\sum_1^\infty \varepsilon_n x_n$ ; it is called a space of cotype 2 if it follows from the almost sure convergence of the series  $\sum_1^\infty \varepsilon_n x_n$  that  $\sum_1^\infty \|x_n\|^2 < \infty$  [1, p. 251].

**Corollary 2.** If  $E$  is a space of type 2, then, under the conditions of Theorem 1, relation (1) is satisfied or, more precisely,  $\Lambda(b, X) \leq d$ . Under the conditions of Theorem 2, the law of the iterated logarithm (1), (2) holds and  $\Lambda(b, X) = \sigma(R)$ .

Recall that a covariance operator  $R$  is called Gaussian if there exists a Gaussian random variable  $G(R)$  for which  $R$  is a covariance operator.

**Corollary 3.** *If  $E$  is a space of cotype 2 and the operator  $R$  is Gaussian under the conditions of Theorem 2, then the law of the iterated logarithm (1), (2) holds and  $\Lambda(b, X) = \sigma(R)$ .*

For equally distributed independent random variables  $X_n$ , condition (3) can be slightly weakened. We set  $\psi(t) = t/L_2(t)$ .

**Theorem 3.** *Assume that  $R$  is the covariance operator of  $X_1$ , the independent random variables  $X_n$  are equally distributed, condition (4) is satisfied, and*

$$b_n^2 = O(\psi(B_n) n^{-1/p}). \quad (7)$$

*Then Theorem 2 is true and the law of the iterated logarithm (1), (2) holds for  $\Gamma(b, X) = 0$ .*

**Theorem 4.** *Assume that the independent random variables  $X_n$  are symmetric and equally distributed. Let  $E$  be a space of type 2. In order that the law of the iterated logarithm (1), (2) and the equality  $\Lambda(b, X) = \sigma(R)$  be valid, it is sufficient that any of the following groups of conditions be satisfied:*

(i)  $b_n^2 \downarrow$  as  $n \uparrow \infty$  and

$$b_n^2 = o(\psi(B_n)); \quad (8)$$

(ii) For some  $1 < p < \infty$ , condition (4) is satisfied,

$$b_n^2 \uparrow \text{ and } B_n / b_n^2 \uparrow \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (9)$$

and

$$b_n^2 = O(B_n / (L(B_n))^{1/(p-1)}); \quad (10)$$

(iii) Conditions (8) and (9) are satisfied and there exists  $h > 0$  such that

$$M \exp(h \|X_1\|) < \infty.$$

## 2. The Law of the Iterated Logarithm in $R^1$

Assume that  $(\xi_n)$  is a sequence of independent random variables in  $R^1$ ,  $M \xi_n = 0$ ,  $D \xi_n = 1$ , and  $Z_n = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \xi_i$ . In  $R^1$ , the law of the iterated logarithm is usually understood in the sense that the equalities

$$\limsup_n \frac{Z_n}{\chi(B_n)} = 1, \quad (11)$$

$$\limsup_n \frac{Z_n}{\chi(B_n)} = -1 \quad (12)$$

should be satisfied almost surely.

**Proposition 1.** *In order that the law of the iterated logarithm (11), (12) be valid, it is sufficient that conditions (3) and (4) be satisfied for  $E = R^1$  and  $X_n = \xi_n$ .*

**Proposition 2.** *Assume that the independent random variables  $(\xi_n)$  are equally distributed and conditions (4) and (7) are satisfied (for  $E = R^1$  and  $X_n = \xi_n$ ). Then the law of the iterated logarithm (11), (12) holds.*

**Proposition 3.** *Assume that the independent random variables  $(\xi_n)$  are equally distributed and symmetric. In order that the law of the iterated logarithm (11), (12) be valid, it is sufficient that any group of conditions (i)–(iii) in Theorem 4 be satisfied (for  $E = R^1$  and  $X_n = \xi_n$ ).*

**Remark 1.** Conditions ensuring the validity of the law of the iterated logarithm in  $R^1$  were studied in many papers (see, e.g., [6–8]). In [9], the weighted sums of equally distributed independent random variables in  $R^1$  were investigated and a condition slightly weaker than (7) was imposed for  $1 \leq p < 3/2$ .

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $I\{A\}$  be the characteristic function of a set  $A$ . If*

$$\sum_n P(|b_n \xi_n| > \tau \psi(B_n)^{1/2}) < \infty \tag{13}$$

for any  $\tau > 0$  and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B_n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n b_i^2 M(\xi_i^2 I\{|b_i \xi_i| > \tau \psi(B_i)^{1/2}\}) = 0, \tag{14}$$

then the sequence  $Z_n$  satisfies the law of the iterated logarithm (11), (12).

**Lemma 2.** *Assume that the conditions in Statement 3 and any group of conditions (i)–(iii) in Theorem 4 are satisfied. Then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B_n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n b_i^2 \xi_i^2 = 1$  almost surely.*

Lemma 1 is a corollary of the classical Kolmogorov law of the iterated logarithm [7, 10]. Lemma 2 follows from the results in [11].

**Proof of Proposition 1.** It suffices to establish relations (13) and (14). We have

$$P(|b_n \xi_n| > \tau \psi(B_n)^{1/2}) \leq \frac{M|\xi_n b_n|^{2p}}{\tau^{2p}} \psi(B_n)^p \leq \frac{C b_n^{2p}}{\tau^{2p}} \psi(B_n)^p.$$

It follows from condition (3) that  $b_n^{2p} / \psi(B_n)^p \leq C_1 b_n^2 / B_n L(B_n)^{1+\delta/2}$  for sufficiently large  $n$ . Since the series  $\sum_n b_n^2 / B_n L(B_n)^{1+\delta/2}$  is convergent [7, p. 339], condition (13) is satisfied. By using Hölder’s inequality, we obtain

$$M(\xi_i^2 I\{|b_i \xi_i| > \tau \psi(B_n)^{1/2}\}) \leq (M|\xi_i|^{2p})^{1/p} (P(|b_i \xi_i| > \tau \psi(B_n)^{1/2}))^{1/q},$$

where  $1/p + 1/q = 1$ . This and relations (4) and (13) imply (14).

**Proof of Proposition 2.** Proposition 2 can be proved similarly. We have

$$\sum_n P(|b_n \xi_n| > \tau \psi(B_n)^{1/2}) \leq \sum_n P(|\xi_n| > C \tau n^{1/p}) < \infty.$$

It is well known that the second series is convergent provided that condition (4) is satisfied and the variables are equally distributed.

**Proof of Proposition 3.** We use the following well-known inequality [12]:

$$\limsup_n \sum_1^n a_i \frac{\varepsilon_i}{\chi(A_n)} \leq 1 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

for  $A_n = \sum_1^n a_i^2 \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . For symmetric independent random variables, this inequality implies that

$$\limsup_n \sum_1^n b_i \frac{\xi_i}{\chi(A_n(\xi))} \leq 1 \quad \text{a.s.} \tag{15}$$

if

$$A_n(\xi) = \sum_1^n b_i^2 \xi_i^2 \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

almost surely.

The divergence of the series  $\sum_n b_n^2 \xi_n^2$  under the conditions of Proposition 3 follows from the equalities

$$\sum_n M \min(1, b_n^2 \xi_n^2) = \sum_n (P(b_n^2 \xi_n^2 < 1) + b_n^2 M(\xi_n^2 I\{b_n^2 \xi_n^2 \geq 1\})) = \infty$$

(see [13, p. 53]).

Inequality (15) and Lemma 2 imply the estimate

$$\limsup_n \frac{Z_n}{\chi(B_n)} \leq 1 \quad \text{a.s.} \tag{16}$$

In Proposition 3, condition (8) is assumed to be satisfied, whence we immediately get (14) for equally distributed variables  $\xi_n$ . It is known that (14) implies the inequality  $\limsup_n Z_n / \chi(B_n) \geq 1$  a.s. [14]. This and (16) yield equality (11). Equality (12) can be obtained from (11) by passing to the variables  $-\xi_n$ .

The following auxiliary statement was presented in the proof of Lemma 3 in [15].

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $(\zeta_n)$  be a sequence of random variables in  $R^1$  and let  $A_n$  be a real-valued sequence,  $A_n \uparrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . If, for some  $C > 0$ ,  $\beta > 1$ ,  $n_0$ , and all  $t \in (1, \beta)$ , we have  $P(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \zeta_k \geq t \chi(A_n)) \leq CL(A_n)^{-\beta}$  for  $n > n_0$ , then  $\limsup_n \zeta_n / \chi(A_n) \leq 1$  almost surely.*

3. Proof of Theorems 1–4

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Fix an arbitrary number  $0 < \tau < 1/2$  and set

$$X'_n = I\{\|b_n X_n\| \leq \tau d \psi(B_n)^{1/2}\} X_n, \quad X''_n = X_n - X'_n; \quad S'_n = \sum_1^n b_i X'_i, \quad S''_n = S_n - S'_n.$$

To estimate the value  $\|S'_n\|$  we need an infinite-dimensional analog of the well-known Bernshtein’s exponential inequality [16],

$$P\left(\left\|\sum_1^n Y_i\right\| - M \left\|\sum_1^n Y_i\right\| \geq u\right) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{u^2}{2B + 2uV}\right), \tag{17}$$

where  $(Y_i)$  are independent random variables in  $E$ ,  $u > 0$ ,  $B \geq \sum_1^n M \|Y_i\|^2$ , and  $V > 0$  is such that  $M \|Y_i\|^m \leq m! M \|Y_i\|^2 V^{m-2}/2$  for  $m = 2, 3, \dots$ .

In addition, we use the following inequalities for the independent random variables  $(Y_i)$  in  $E$  [16, 17]:

$$P\left(\sup_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left\|\sum_{i=1}^k Y_i\right\| > t\right) \leq 2P\left(\left\|\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i\right\| > t - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n M \|Y_i\|^2\right)^{1/2}\right) \tag{18}$$

for  $MY_i = 0$  and

$$M \left\|\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i\right\| - M \left\|\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i\right\|^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n M \|Y_i\|^2. \tag{19}$$

By the definition of  $X''_n$ , we have

$$\sum_n P(X''_n \neq 0) \leq \sum_n (b_n / \tau d)^{2p} M \|X_n\|^{2p} \psi(B_n)^{-p} < \infty.$$

Under condition (4), the last inequality was established in the proof of Proposition 1. Thus, by virtue of the Borel–Cantelli lemma, we get

$$\sup_n \|S''_n\| = S < \infty \quad \text{a.s.} \tag{20}$$

Hence, to prove the inequality on the right-hand side of (5), it suffices to establish the estimate

$$\limsup_n \frac{\|S'_n\|}{\chi(B_n)} \leq d + \Gamma(b, X). \tag{21}$$

By using estimates (19) and (20), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} &\geq P(\|S''_n\| - M \|S''_n\| \geq \sqrt{2} B_n^{1/2}) \geq P(M \|S''_n\| B_n^{-1/2} \geq \|S''_n\| B_n^{-1/2} + \sqrt{2}) \\ &\geq P(M \|S''_n\| B_n^{-1/2} \geq S B_n^{-1/2} + \sqrt{2}). \end{aligned}$$

This and (20) imply that

$$M \| S_n'' \| \leq C B_n^{1/2}. \quad (22)$$

Further, we set  $Y_i = b_i X_i'$ ,  $B = d^2 B_n$ , and  $V = \tau d \psi(B_n)^{1/2}$  in (17). Then

$$P(\| S_n' \| - M \| S_n'' \| > u) \leq \exp(-u^2 / [2B_n d^2 + 2u \tau d \psi(B_n)^{1/2}]).$$

By inserting in this inequality  $u = v d (1 + 2\tau)^{1/2} \chi(B_n)$  for  $v \in (1, \beta)$ ,  $\beta = (2 / (1 + 2\tau))^{1/2}$ , we get

$$P(\| S_n' \| - M \| S_n'' \| \geq v d (1 + 2\tau)^{1/2} \chi(B_n)) \leq L(B_n)^{-v^2}. \quad (23)$$

For fixed  $\tau$  and sufficiently large  $n$ , we obtain

$$M \| S_n \| \leq (\Gamma(b, X) + \tau) \chi(B_n). \quad (24)$$

Since  $|M \| S_n \| - M \| S_n'' \| | \leq M \| S_n'' \|$ , it follows from estimates (22)–(24) that

$$P(\| S_n' \| \geq [\Gamma(b, X) + 2\tau + v d (1 + 2\tau)^{1/2}] \chi(B_n)) \leq L(B_n)^{-v^2} \quad (25)$$

for  $v \in (1, \beta)$  and sufficiently large  $n$ . In view of the equality  $M S_n' + M S_n'' = M S_n = 0$ , we have

$$M \| S_n' \| \leq M \| S_n'' \| \leq C B_n^{1/2}. \quad (26)$$

It follows from estimates (18), (25), and (26) that

$$P(\sup_{1 \leq k \leq n} \| S_k' - M S_k' \| \geq v [\Gamma(b, X) + 4\tau + d(1 + 2\tau)^{1/2}] \chi(B_n)) \leq L(B_n)^{-v^2}$$

for  $v \in (1, \beta)$  and sufficiently large  $n$ . By using Lemma 3, we obtain the inequality

$$\limsup_n \frac{\| S_n' - M S_n' \|}{\chi(B_n)} \leq \Gamma(b, X) + 4\tau + d(1 + 2\tau)^{1/2}$$

almost surely. Since  $\tau$  is an arbitrary number from the interval  $(0, 1/2)$ , we get

$$\limsup_n \frac{\| S_n' - M S_n' \|}{\chi(B_n)} \leq d + \Gamma(b, X) \quad \text{a.s.}$$

This and (26) yield (21), i.e., the right inequality in (5) is established. The left inequality in (5) follows from the Fatou lemma (see [5]).

**Proof of Corollary 1.** The equality  $\Gamma(b, X) = 0$  and (5) imply (1); moreover, if we take into account that

$$\Gamma(b, \Pi_N X) = 0 \quad (27)$$

in the finite-dimensional space  $\Pi_N E$  and, hence,  $\Gamma(b, Q_N X) = 0$ , then we obtain

$$\Lambda(b, X) \leq \Lambda(b, \Pi_N X) + \Lambda(b, Q_N X) \leq \sup_n D(\Pi_N X_n) + \sup_n D(Q_N X_n).$$

By virtue of (6), the second term tends to zero as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , while the first one, in view of (4), is less than  $\infty$  for all  $N$ . Hence, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the set  $\{S_n / \chi(B_n), n \geq 1\}$  can be covered (almost surely) by finitely many balls of radius  $\varepsilon$  and, thus, it is precompact.

**Proof of Theorem 2.** The left inequality of the theorem is a consequence of the law of the iterated logarithm in  $R^1$  (Proposition 1) and the Fatou lemma. Before proving the right inequality, let us establish the equality  $\Lambda(b, X) = \sigma(R)$  in the finite-dimensional case ( $E = R^m$ ).

Due to the left inequality in Theorem 2, it suffices to show that  $T = \Lambda(b, X) \leq \sigma(R)$ . By Proposition 1, we have  $T < \infty$ . In our case, it follows from the 0 or 1 law that there exists a measurable subset  $\Omega_c \subset \Omega$  such that  $P(\Omega_c) = 1$  and  $\limsup_n \|S_n(\omega)\| / \chi(B_n) = T$  for  $\omega \in \Omega_c$  (see [3-5]). Since any bounded set in  $R^m$  is precompact, we conclude that, for every  $\omega \in \Omega_c$ , there exist a (random) sequence  $n_k$  and a (random) point  $x$  such that

$$\lim_k \|S_{n_k}(\omega)\| / \chi(B_{n_k}) = T \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_k \|S_{n_k}(\omega) / \chi(B_{n_k}) - x\| = 0.$$

Thus,  $\|x\| = T$ . According to Proposition 1, for any  $f \in E^*$ ,  $\|f\| = 1$ , we have

$$(M |f(X_1)|^2)^{1/2} = \limsup_n f(S_n) / \chi(B_n) \geq \lim_k f(S_{n_k}) / \chi(B_{n_k}) \geq f(x).$$

Then

$$\sigma(R) = \sup \{ (M |f(X_1)|^2)^{1/2} : \|f\| = 1 \} \geq \sup \{ |f(x)| : \|f\| = 1 \} \geq \|x\| = T.$$

Thus,  $\Lambda(b, \Pi_N X) = \sigma(R_N)$  for any  $N$ , where  $R_N$  is a (common) covariance operator of the variables  $\Pi_N X_n$ . It follows from the last equality and (5) that

$$\Lambda(b, X) \leq \Lambda(b, \Pi_N X) + \Lambda(b, Q_N X) \leq \sigma(R_N) + \sup_n D(Q_N X_n) + \Gamma(b, Q_N X).$$

Since  $\sigma(R_N) \leq \sigma(R)$  (see [5]) and  $\Gamma(b, Q_N X) = \Gamma(b, X)$  [by virtue of (27)], we can pass to the limit with respect to  $N$ ; as a result, by using (6), we arrive at the right inequality of Theorem 2.

We have  $M \|S_n\|^2 \leq C(E) d^2 B_n$  in a space of type 2 and

$$M \|S_n\|^2 \leq C(E) M \|G(R)\|^2 B_n$$

in a space of cotype 2 [2]. Thus,  $\Gamma(b, N) = 0$  in both these cases. This equality guarantees the validity of Corollaries 2 and 3.

**Proof of Theorem 3.** The proof of Theorem 3 is similar to the proof of Theorem 2 (the transition from conditions (3) to conditions (7) is justified in the proof of Proposition 2).



**Proof of Theorem 4.** According to the law of the iterated logarithm in  $R^1$  (Proposition 3), we have, for any  $f \in E^*$ ,

$$\limsup_n \frac{f(S_n)}{\chi(B_n)} = (M|f(X_1)|^2)^{1/2} \quad (28)$$

almost surely.

Thus, for any  $N$ ,

$$\Lambda(b, \Pi_N X) = \sigma(R_N) \quad (29)$$

almost surely (see the proof of Theorem 2).

In a space of type 2, for the independent symmetric random variables  $(X_n)$ , we have

$$\limsup_n \frac{\|S_n\|}{\chi\left(\sum_1^n b_i^2 \|X_i\|^2\right)} \leq C < \infty \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (30)$$

for

$$\sum_1^n b_i^2 \|X_i\|^2 \uparrow \infty, \quad n \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (31)$$

(see [15]; the validity of condition (31) is established in Proposition 3).

Lemma 2 and estimate (30) yield  $\Lambda(b, X) \leq Cd$ . To complete the proof, it suffices to repeat the argument in the proof of Theorem 2 by using this inequality and (29).

**Remark 2.** Let  $K$  be a unit ball in the Hilbert space  $H_R$ . It is known [3] that, in the case where relations (2) and (28) are satisfied (they are satisfied, e.g., under the conditions of Theorems 2–4 with  $\Gamma(b, X) = 0$ ), we have  $\inf\{\|S_n/\chi(B_n) - x\| : x \in K\} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  almost surely; if, in addition,  $H_R$  is an infinite-dimensional space, then  $C(\{S_n/\chi(B_n)\}) = K$  almost surely, where  $C(\{x_n\})$  is the set of limiting points of the sequence  $\{x_n\}$ .

## REFERENCES

1. N. N. Vakhaniya, V. I. Tarieladze, and S. A. Chobanyan, *Probability Distributions in Banach Spaces* [in Russian], Nauka, Moscow (1985).
2. A. Araujo and E. Gine, *The Central Limit Theorem for Real and Banach Valued Random Variables*, Wiley, New York (1980).
3. J. Kuelbs, "A strong convergence theorem for Banach space valued random variables," *Ann. Probab.*, **4**, No. 5, 744–771 (1976).
4. V. Goodman, J. Kuelbs, and J. Zinn, "Some results on the LIL in Banach space with applications to weighted empirical processes," *Ann. Probab.*, **9**, No. 5, 713–752 (1981).
5. A. Acosta, J. Kuelbs, and M. Ledoux, "An inequality for the law of the iterated logarithm," *Lect. Notes Math.*, **990**, 1–29 (1983).
6. N. H. Bingam, "Variants on the law of the iterated logarithm," *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, **18**, No. 5, 433–467 (1986).
7. V. V. Petrov, *Sums of Independent Random Variables* [in Russian], Nauka, Moscow (1972).
8. A. I. Martikainen and V. V. Petrov, "On necessary and sufficient conditions for the law of the iterated logarithm," *Teor. Veroyatn. Ee Primen.*, **22**, Issue 1, 18–26 (1977).
9. O. I. Klesov, "The law of the iterated logarithm for weighted sums of independent equally distributed random variables," *Teor. Veroyatn. Ee Primen.*, **31**, Issue 2, 389–391 (1986).
10. R. J. Tomkins, "Lindeberg functions and the law of the iterated logarithm," *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheor. Verw. Geb.*, **65**, No. 1, 135–143 (1983).

11. I. K. Matsak, "On the summation of independent random variables by the Riesz method", *Ukr. Mat. Zh.*, **44**, No. 5, 641–647 (1992).
12. M. Weiss, "On the law of the iterated logarithm," *J. Math. Mech.*, **8**, No. 2, 121–132 (1959).
13. J.-P. Kahan, *Random Functional Series* [Russian translation], Mir, Moscow (1973).
14. A. I. Martikainen, "On the unilateral law of the iterated logarithm," *Teor. Veroyatn. Ee Primen.*, **30**, Issue 4, 694–705 (1985).
15. I. K. Matsak and A. N. Plichko, "Khinchin's inequalities and the asymptotic behavior of the sums  $\sum \varepsilon_n x_n$  on Banach lattices," *Ukr. Mat. Zh.*, **42**, No. 5, 639–644 (1990).
16. I. F. Pinelis and A. I. Sakhanenko, "Remarks on the inequalities for probabilities of large deviations," *Teor. Veroyatn. Ee Primen.*, **30**, Issue 1, 127–131 (1985).
17. A. I. Sakhanenko, "On the Levi–Kolmogorov inequalities for random variables with values in a Banach space," *Teor. Veroyatn. Ee Primen.*, **29**, Issue 4, 793–799 (1984).