

Let X be a Banach space. The subspace F of the conjugate space X^* is called normalizing, if its Diksmay characteristic $r(F) = \sup \{r: rB(X^*) \subset \text{cl}^*B(F)\} > 0$, where $B(X)$ is the unit sphere in the space X , and cl^*A is the weak* closure of the subset $A \subset X^*$ (see [1, p. 29]). It is known (see [1, p. 39]) that a numerical region of characteristic $\mathfrak{R}(X) = \{\lambda: \exists F \subset X^*, r(F) = \lambda\}$, where F is a total proper subspace of X^* , closed in norm, is an interval $(0, \alpha)$, whose ends may or may not be included. In this article we study the relationship between the numerical region of characteristic of the space X and its subspace Y , the possible values of the number α , and we also introduce the concept of a strongly normalizing subspace, and we show that for improperly defined problems on linear finite-dimensional Tikhonov regularizability (and its equivalent functional-analytic properties), this concept fills the role of a normalizing subspace for simple regularizability.

1. The numerical region of characteristic was studied in [1-4]. In particular, it follows from the result of [4] that for a space X with unconditional orthogonal spanning basis, we always have $\mathfrak{R}(Y) \subset \mathfrak{R}(X)$ for any subspace $Y \subset X$. In connection with this, the following question naturally arises: do we always have $\mathfrak{R}(Y) \subset \mathfrak{R}(X)$, if Y is a subspace of X . The following statement shows that when Y is well complemented in X , the conclusion holds.

THEOREM 1. Let Y and Z be closed, mutually complementary subspaces of the Banach space $X: X = Y \oplus Z$, and let the norm of the sum have the "lattice property": if $\|y_1\| \leq \|y_2\|$ and $\|z_1\| \leq \|z_2\|$ then $\|y_1 + z_1\| \leq \|y_2 + z_2\|$ for arbitrary $y_i \in Y, z_i \in Z, i = 1, 2$. Then $\mathfrak{R}(Y) \subset \mathfrak{R}(X)$ and $\mathfrak{R}(Z) \subset \mathfrak{R}(X)$.

Proof. It is sufficient to verify the inclusion $\mathfrak{R}(Y) \subset \mathfrak{R}(X)$. It follows from the conditions of the theorem that the norms of the natural projectors $X \rightarrow Y$ and $X \rightarrow Z$ are equal to one. It is known (see, for example, [5]), and is easily verified, that in this case $X^* = Z^\perp \oplus Y^\perp$, where Z^\perp and Y^\perp are the annihilators in X^* of the corresponding subspaces, and moreover Z^\perp is isometric to Y^* , and Y^\perp , to Z^* ; the isometry is defined in the natural way. Therefore we shall identify Y^* with Z^\perp , and Z^* with Y^\perp . It is also easily verified that if the norm of the sum $Y \oplus Z$ has the "lattice property," then the same is also true for the annihilators: for arbitrary $f_i \in Z^\perp$ and $g_i \in Y^\perp, i = 1, 2$, it follows from $\|f_1\| \leq \|f_2\|$ and $\|g_1\| \leq \|g_2\|$ that $\|f_1 + g_1\| \leq \|f_2 + g_2\|$.

Let M be a subspace of $Y^* = Z^\perp$ of characteristic α . We show that the characteristic of the subspace $F = M \oplus Y^\perp \subset X^*$ is not less than α . Take an arbitrary element $u = f + g \in X^*, f \in Z^\perp, g \in Y^\perp$, with norm $\|u\| = a$. Then $\|f\| \leq a$, and by the definition of characteristic there exists a net $\{f_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A} \subset \|f\|B(M)/a$, which converges weakly* to f . Then the net $\{f_\alpha + g\}_{\alpha \in A} \subset F$ weakly* converges to u . Since $\|f_\alpha + g\| \leq \| \|f\| a^{-1} f + g \| \leq \| a^{-1} f + a^{-1} g \| = 1$, then $r(F) \geq \alpha$. The inclusion $\mathfrak{R}(Y) \subset \mathfrak{R}(X)$ is proved.

We introduce an example of a Banach space X , for which there exists a subspace Y such that $\mathfrak{R}(Y) \not\subset \mathfrak{R}(X)$. Moreover Y has a one-dimensional complement Z and the norms of the natural projectors $X \rightarrow Y$ and $X \rightarrow Z$ are equal to 1.

Example 1. For any set M , the notation $c_0(M), \mathcal{L}_1(M), \mathcal{L}_\infty(M)$ has the standard sense (see [1, p. 7]). Denote by X the subspace $\mathcal{L}_\infty(M)$, where $M = \{-1\} \cup \{0\} \cup N$, where N is the natural numbers, spanned on $c_0(N)$, and consider the elements $e_0: e_0(m) = 1, m \in M$ and $e_{-1}: e_{-1}(-1) = 1, e_{-1}(0) = -1, e_{-1}(n) = 0, n \in N$. We show that $X^* = \mathcal{L}_1(M)$. Duality is defined by the formula $f(x) = \sum_{-1}^\infty f(m)x(m), f \in \mathcal{L}_1(M), x \in X$. Since $|f(x)| \leq \|x\| \sum_{-1}^\infty |f(m)|$, then $\|f\|_{X^*} \leq \sum_{-1}^\infty |f(m)|$. For each $\varepsilon > 0$, it is easy to select an element $x \in B(X)$, for which $f(x) > \sum_{-1}^\infty |f(m)| - \varepsilon$. Therefore

Institute of Applied Problems of Mathematics and Mechanics, Academy of Sciences of the Ukrainian SSR, Lvov. Translated from *Ukrainskii Matematicheskii Zhurnal*, Vol. 36, No. 4, pp. 427-433, July-August, 1984. Original article submitted May 16, 1983.

$X^* \supset l_1(M)$. Since $c_0(N)^* = l_1(N)$, and $c_0(N)$ and $l_1(N)$ differ from X and $l_1(M)$ by precisely two dimensions, then $X^* = l_1(M)$.

The subspace $Y = c_0(N) + [e_0] \subset X$ is isometric to the space of convergent sequences c ($[A]$ denotes the norm-closed linear hull of the set A), and therefore $\mathfrak{R}(Y) = [0, 1]$ (see [2]). Moreover, the subspace Y has in X complement $Z = [e_{-1}]$, and the norms of the corresponding projectors are equal to one.

We show that $\mathfrak{R}(X) \subset [0, 2/3]$. To do this we note that $l_1(M)^* = l_\infty(M)$, and for one of the equivalent definitions of the characteristic [1, p. 30] it is sufficient to show that for an arbitrary element $\varphi \in l_\infty(M)$

$$\inf \{ \|\lambda\varphi - x\| : x \in X, \|x\| = 1, \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \} \leq 2/3. \quad (1)$$

As usual we identify the space X with its canonical image in the second conjugate.

Take any element $\varphi \in l_\infty(M)$ with norm $2/3$. If $\sup \{ |\varphi(n)| : n \in N \} \geq 1/3$, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $k \geq 1$ such that $|\varphi(k)| > 1/3 - \varepsilon$. Let $e_k \in X$ be a unit vector: $e_k(k) = 1, e_k(m) = 0, m \neq k$. Then $\|e_k\| = 1, \|\varphi - \text{sign} \varphi(k) e_k\| \leq 2/3 + \varepsilon$ and for such a φ , inequality (1) is satisfied. If, however, $|\varphi(n)| < 1/3, n \geq 0$, then either $|\varphi(-1)| = 2/3$ or $|\varphi(0)| = 2/3$. The space X contains the elements $x = (e_0 + e_{-1})/2$ and $y = (e_0 - e_{-1})/2, \|x\| = \|y\| = 1$. If $|\varphi(-1)| = 2/3$, then $\|2^{-1}\varphi - \text{sign} \varphi(-1)x\| \leq 2/3$, i.e., inequality (1) is also satisfied in this case.

THEOREM 2. Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space and let $R(X, \|\cdot\|) = \sup \{ \lambda : \lambda \in \mathfrak{R}(X) \} = a > 0$. Then for an arbitrary number $b \in [a, 1]$, there exists a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on X , equivalent to the original norm, for which $R(X, \|\cdot\|) = b$.

Proof. Let $F \subset (X, \|\cdot\|)^*$ be any proper normalizing subspace, one of which must exist by the conditions of the theorem. Then the norm $\|x\|_1 = \sup \{ |f(x)| : f \in F, \|f\| \leq 1 \}$ is equivalent to the original norm and $R(X, \|\cdot\|_1) = 1$. For any $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ we define a norm on the space $X: \|x\|_\lambda = \lambda \|x\|_1 + (1 - \lambda) \|x\|$. Clearly, it is equivalent to the original norm, and the Banach-Mazur distance $d((X, \|\cdot\|_\mu), (X, \|\cdot\|_\lambda)) \rightarrow 0$ as $\mu \rightarrow \lambda$. Since for arbitrary Banach spaces X and Y $R(X) \leq d(X, Y)R(Y)$ (see [3]), the mapping φ , which associates with each $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ a number $R(X, \|\cdot\|_\lambda)$, is continuous, and $\varphi(0) = a, \varphi(1) = 1$. Since a continuous function takes all its intermediate values, the theorem is proved.

While the conditions under which the left boundary of the numerical region $\mathfrak{R}(X)$ is contained in $\mathfrak{R}(X)$ are fully described (see [1, p. 78]), it is not clear when $R(X) \in \mathfrak{R}(X)$. We introduce an example showing that for an arbitrary number $1/2 \leq a \leq 1$ there exists a Banach space X with $\mathfrak{R}(X) = [0, a]$.

Example 2. Let $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. Consider the subspace $c_\alpha = c_0(N) + [e_\alpha] \subset l_\infty(N \cup \{0\})$, where e_α is defined thus: $e_\alpha(0) = 1, e_\alpha(n) = \alpha, n \geq 1$, and we show that $\mathfrak{R}(c_\alpha) = [0, (1 + \alpha^2)/2]$.

We note that $c_\alpha^* = l_1(N \cup \{0\})$ with the natural duality, and $c_\alpha^{**} = l_\infty(N \cup \{0\})$. We first prove the inequality $R(c_\alpha) \leq (1 + \alpha^2)/2$, i.e., for an arbitrary element $\varphi \in l_\infty(N \cup \{0\})$ we verify the relation

$$\inf \{ \|\lambda\varphi - x\| : x \in c_\alpha, \|x\| = 1, \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \} \leq (1 + \alpha^2)/2. \quad (2)$$

If for some index $k \geq 0$ $|\varphi(k)| \geq (1 - \alpha^2)/(1 + \alpha^2)$, then $\|2^{-1}(1 + \alpha^2)\varphi - \text{sign} \varphi(k) e_k\| \leq \max \{ (1 + \alpha^2)/2, 1 - (1 + \alpha^2)(1 - \alpha^2)/2(1 + \alpha^2) \} = (1 + \alpha^2)/2$, where e_k is the k -th unit vector of the space $c_0(N)$. Let $|\varphi(n)| < (1 - \alpha^2)/(1 + \alpha^2)$ for any $n > 0$. Then $|\varphi(0)| = 1$; we may assume that $\varphi(0) = -1$. In the case $\overline{\lim}_n |\varphi(n)| = a < (1 - \alpha)/(1 + \alpha)$, i.e., when $|\varphi(n)| > (1 - \alpha)/(1 + \alpha)$ for only a finite number

of positive indices n_1, \dots, n_m , the norm of the element $x = e_\alpha - \sum_{i=1}^m 2^{-1}(1 - \alpha^2)\varphi(n_i) e_{n_i}$ is equal to

1, and considering separately the values of the function $2^{-1}(1 - \alpha^2)\varphi + x$ at the points $0, n_i, i = 1, m$ and at the remaining points, we obtain $\|2^{-1}(1 - \alpha^2)\varphi + x\| \leq (1 + \alpha^2)/2$.

Finally, when $a > (1 - \alpha)/(1 + \alpha)$, we choose an arbitrary ε and index $k > 0$ such that $a - \varepsilon < |\varphi(k)| < a + \varepsilon$. Let n_1, \dots, n_m be a finite number of positive indices for which $|\varphi(n_i)| > a + \varepsilon$. Set $\lambda = (1 + \alpha)/(2a + \alpha(1 + \alpha)), b = (1 - \alpha)/(2a + \alpha(1 + \alpha))$. Then the norm of the element

$y = be_\alpha - (b\alpha + \text{sign} \varphi(k)) e_k - \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda\varphi(n_i) e_{n_i}$ is equal to 1, and considering separately the

values of the function $\lambda\varphi + y$ at the point $0, k, n_i, i = 1, m$ and at the other points, we obtain $\|\lambda\varphi - y\| \leq (\alpha + a)/(2a + \alpha(1 + \alpha)) + \varepsilon(1 + \alpha)/(2a + \alpha(1 + \alpha)) \leq (1 + \alpha^2)/2 + \varepsilon(1 + \alpha)^2/2$. Inequality (2) follows from the fact that ε was chosen arbitrarily.

We show that for the element $\varphi \in c_\alpha^*$: $\varphi(0) = -1$, $\varphi(n) = (1-\alpha)/(1+\alpha)$, $n > 0$, the characteristic of the subspace $\varphi^\perp \subset c_\alpha^*$ is exactly equal to $(1+\alpha^2)/2$, i.e., for any $x \in c_\alpha$, $\|x\| = 1$ and $\lambda > 0$ $\|\lambda\varphi + x\| \geq (1+\alpha^2)/2$. Suppose that for some x and λ

$$\|\lambda\varphi + x\| < (1+\alpha^2)/2. \quad (3)$$

If $|x(k)| = 1$ for some k , then since $\lambda\varphi(k) < 0$ it makes sense to consider only the case $x(k) = -1$. By inequality (3) $-\lambda\varphi(k) - x(k) < (1+\alpha^2)/2$; therefore, $\lambda > (1+\alpha^2)/2$. Substituting this estimate in the relation $-\lambda\varphi(0) - x(0) < (1+\alpha^2)/2$, we obtain $x(0) > \alpha$. Substitute the values for $x(0)$ and λ in the inequality $\overline{\lim}_n (\lambda\varphi(n) + x(n)) > (1+\alpha^2)/2$; we obtain the contradictory relation $(1+\alpha^2)(1-\alpha)/2(1+\alpha) + \alpha < (1+\alpha^2)/2$. If, however, $|x(n)| < 1$ for all $n > 0$, then $|x(0)| = 1$; it makes sense to consider only the case $x(0) = 1$. By (3), $\lambda\varphi(0) + x(0) < (1+\alpha^2)/2$; therefore $\lambda > (1-\alpha^2)/2$. Substituting this estimate for λ in the inequality $\lim_n (\lambda\varphi(n) + x(n)) < (1+\alpha^2)/2$, we again obtain a contradiction. Therefore $r(\varphi^\perp) = (1+\alpha^2)/2$, and then $(0, (1+\alpha^2)/2) \subset \mathfrak{R}(c_\alpha)$ (see [1], p. 39). It is easily verified that the space c_α is isomorphic to c_0 (the isomorphism is established in the same way as between c and c_0). Therefore c_α is nonquasireflexive and contains a total subspace of zero characteristic (see [1, p. 78]); therefore $\mathfrak{R}(c_\alpha) = [0, (1+\alpha^2)/2]$.

2. Let E be a Banach space and let M be a total linear subspace of E^* . We say that it is strongly λ -normalizing ($0 < \lambda \leq 1$) if for any finite-dimensional subspaces $X \subset E$ and $F \subset E^*$ $\inf \max \{\|T\|, \|T^{-1}\|\} \leq \lambda^{-1}$, where the infimum is taken over all operators $T: F \rightarrow M$, for which $\langle x, f \rangle = \langle x, Tf \rangle$ for any $x \in X, f \in F$, and if λ is the maximal of those numbers for which this inequality is satisfied. We call the number λ the strong characteristic $sr(M)$ of the subspace M . In the case when the value of the constant λ is not important, we shall use the terminology "strongly normalizing subspace."

This definition is evoked by the principle of local reflexivity [6], which states that $E \subset (E^*)^*$ is strongly 1-normalizing. Clearly, if the subspace $N \subset M$, then $sr(N) \leq sr(M)$ and $sr(M) \leq r(M)$ [$r(M)$ is the usual characteristic of the subspace M]. It is also easily verified that $sr(\overline{M}) = sr(M)$ for the closure \overline{M} in norm of the subspace M .

THEOREM 3. Let E be a Banach space, let M be a strongly normalizing subspace of E^* , let $\alpha > 0$ and let J be a factor-mapping of E^{**} into $E^{**}/M^\perp = M^*$. Let N be a subspace of M with the following property: for any finite-dimensional subspace $Z \subset JE$, there exist norm-closed subspaces $\Phi \supset Z$ and $\Psi \supset N^{(\perp M)}$ in M^* , and a projector $P: M^* \rightarrow \Phi$ parallel to Ψ with $\|P\| \leq \alpha$, where $N^{(\perp M)}$ is the annihilator in M^* . Then N is strongly normalizing.

Proof. Since under norm closure, strong normalizability is not affected, then without loss of generality we may assume that the subspaces M and N are norm-closed. Let X and F be finite-dimensional subspaces of E and E^* , let $\varepsilon > 0$ and let $Y \supset X$ be a finite-dimensional subspace of E such that for each $f \in F$ $\|f\| < (1+\varepsilon) \sup \{f(y): y \in \hat{B}(Y)\}$.

Let $T: F \rightarrow M$ be an operator with $\langle y, f \rangle = \langle y, Tf \rangle$ for arbitrary $y \in Y$ and $f \in F$, and let $\max \{\|T\|, \|T^{-1}\|\} \leq \lambda^{-1}$, $\lambda > sr M - \varepsilon$. Let $Z = JY$ and let Φ, Ψ and P be the objects mentioned in the conditions of the theorem. The conjugate operator P^* maps M^{**} into $\Psi^\perp \subset (N^{(\perp M)})^\perp$, parallel to Φ^\perp . Identifying $(N^{(\perp M)})^\perp$ with N^{**} , we may assume that P^* maps M^{**} into N^{**} . Then for $y \in Y$ and $f \in F$, denoting by J' the factor-mapping of M^* into $M^*/N^{(\perp M)} = N^*$, we have

$$\langle y, f \rangle = \langle y, Tf \rangle = \langle Jy, Tf \rangle = \langle Jy, P^*Tf \rangle = \langle J'Jy, P^*Tf \rangle. \quad (4)$$

By the condition $\|P^*\| = \|P\| \leq \alpha$. For the restriction $P^*|_{TF}$ we estimate the norm of the inverse. Let $g \in TF$, $\|g\| = 1$, $f = T^{-1}g$ and let $y \in \hat{B}(Y)$ be an element for which $\|f\| < (1+\varepsilon)f(y)$. Then $\|Jy\| \leq 1$ and $\langle P^*g, Jy \rangle = \langle Tf, PJy \rangle = \langle Tf, Jy \rangle = \lambda(1+\varepsilon)$, since $\|(P^*|_{TF})^{-1}\| < \lambda^{-1}(1+\varepsilon)$. Applying the principle of local reflexivity to the space N and the subspaces $G = P^*TF \subset N^{**}$ and $J'Z \subset N^*$, we obtain a subspace $H \subset N$ and an operator $R: G \rightarrow H$, for which $\max \{\|R\|, \|R^{-1}\|\} < 1+\varepsilon$ and $\langle z, g \rangle = \langle z, Rg \rangle$ for $z \in J'Z$ and $g \in G$. Set $S = RP^*T$. It is easily seen that this operator maps F into N . Moreover, for $x \in X \subset Y$ and $f \in F$, by (4) we have $\langle x, f \rangle = \langle J'Jx, P^*Tf \rangle = \langle JJ'x, RP^*Tf \rangle = \langle x, Sf \rangle$.

Finally, there exist constants bounding $R, P^*|_{TF}$ and their inverses, which do not depend on the subspaces X and F . Therefore there exists a number μ such that $\max \{\|S\|, \|S^{-1}\|\} < \mu$, i.e., the subspace $N \subset E^*$ is strongly normalizing.

COROLLARY 1. If M is a strongly normalizing subspace of E^* and $N \subset M$ is a total subspace on E with $\dim M/N < \infty$, then N is strongly normalizing. In particular, if N is a total subspace of finite defect in E^* , then N is also strongly normalizing.

In fact, since $\dim M/N < \infty$, then the annihilator $N^{(\perp M)} \subset M^*$ is finite-dimensional. From the totality of N we have $N^{(\perp M)} \cap JE = 0$. Moreover, the restriction $J|_E$ is an isomorphism, and therefore the subspace $JE \subset M^*$ is closed. Therefore it can be extended to a closed subspace Φ , complementary to $N^{(\perp M)}$, and we can apply Theorem 3 with $\Psi = N^{(\perp M)}$.

We recall that the Banach space E is called quasireflexive if $\dim E^{**}/E < \infty$.

COROLLARY 2. The Banach space E is quasireflexive if and only if any total subspace $M \subset E^*$ is strongly normalizing.

For a nonquasireflexive space, there exists a total normalizing subspace $M \subset E^*$ (see [1, p. 78]), which of course is not strongly normalizing. Since each norm-closed total subspace of M , conjugate to the quasireflexive E , has finite defect in E^* , then Corollary 2 follows from Corollary 1.

The Banach space E is called a \mathcal{Q}_∞ -space, if there exists a number $\lambda > 0$ such that for any finite-dimensional subspace $X \subset E$, there exists a finite-dimensional subspace $Y \supset X$ and a linear bijective operator $T: Y \rightarrow l_\infty^{(n)}$ with norm $\max\{\|T\|, \|T^{-1}\|\} < \lambda$.

COROLLARY 3. Let E be a \mathcal{Q}_∞ -space. Then any normalizing subspace $M \subset E^*$ is strongly normalizing.

Proof. Let $X \subset E$ be a finite-dimensional subspace and let Y, λ and T be the objects in the definition of a \mathcal{Q}_∞ -space. Since M is normalizing, then in the space $E + M^\perp \subset E^{**}$ there exists a continuous projector $q: E + M^\perp \rightarrow E$ parallel to M^\perp . Consider the mapping $TQ: E + M^\perp \rightarrow l_\infty^{(n)}$. By p. 247 of [7], this can be extended to an operator $S: E^{**} \rightarrow l_\infty^{(n)}$, preserving the norm. Set $P = T^{-1}S$. The operator P projects the space E^{**} onto $Y \supset X$ parallel to $\text{Ker } P \supset M$; thus we can apply Theorem 3, setting $M = E^*$, $N = M$, $\Phi = Y$, $\Psi = \text{Ker } P$.

We recall that the Banach space E has the λ -metric approximation property (the λ -MAP), if for any finite-dimensional subspace $X \subset E$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a finite-dimensional linear operator $R_{X,\varepsilon}: E \rightarrow E$ with $\|R_{X,\varepsilon}\| \leq \lambda$ and $\|R_{X,\varepsilon}x - x\| \leq \varepsilon\|x\|$ for $x \in X$. If the space X has the λ -MAP for some $\lambda \geq 1$, then we say that it has the bounded approximation property (the BAP). We call the set $\mathfrak{R} = \{R_{X,\varepsilon}\}$ the set of λ -approximating operators. For a given E , there may of course be many such sets \mathfrak{R} . Set $M_{\mathfrak{R}} = \text{lin}\{R^*E^*: R \in \mathfrak{R}\}$.

THEOREM 4. Let the Banach space E have the λ -MAP. Then:

- 1) $\text{sr } M_{\mathfrak{R}} \geq \lambda^{-1}$;
- 2) if for the linear subspace $M \subset E^*$, $\text{sr}(M) > \mu^{-1}$, then in the space E there exists a set \mathfrak{R}_1 of $\lambda\mu$ -approximating operators, such that $M_{\mathfrak{R}_1} \subset M$.

Proof. 1) Let $X \subset E$ and $F \subset E^*$ be finite-dimensional subspaces and let $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$. Choose a finite-dimensional subspace $X \subset Y \subset E$, such that for any $f \in F$

$$\|f\| < (1 + \delta) \sup\{f(y): y \in B(Y)\}. \quad (5)$$

Let $R_{Y,\varepsilon} \in \mathfrak{R}$ be an approximating operator corresponding to the subspace Y and the number ε . By Lemma 2.4 of [6], we can choose an operator R_1 with $R_1|_Y = I|_Y$, $\|R_1 - R\| < (1 - \varepsilon)^{-1}\varepsilon\lambda \dim Y$ and $R_1^*E^* = R^*E^* \subset M_{\mathfrak{R}}$. Then for $y \in Y$ and $f \in F$, $\langle y, R_1^*f \rangle = \langle R_1y, f \rangle = \langle y, f \rangle$. Moreover, $\|R_1\| = \|R_1\| \leq \|R - R_1\| + \|R\| \leq (1 - \varepsilon)^{-1}\varepsilon\lambda \dim Y + \lambda$. For $f \in F$, $\|R_1^*f\| = \sup\{\langle e, R_1^*f \rangle: e \in B(E)\} = \sup\{\langle R_1e, f \rangle: e \in B(E)\} \geq \sup\{\langle R_1y, f \rangle: y \in B(Y)\} = \sup\{f(y): y \in B(Y)\} \geq \|f\|/(1 + \delta)$. In the last inequality we are using formula (5). Thus $\|f\| < (1 + \delta)\|R_1^*f\|$, i.e., the norm of the restriction $\|(R_1^*|_F)^{-1}\| < 1 + \delta$. Inequality 1) follows from the fact that ε and δ were chosen arbitrarily.

2) Let \mathfrak{R} be the family of λ -approximating operators, let X be a finite-dimensional subspace of E , let $\varepsilon > 0$, let $R_{X,\varepsilon} \in \mathfrak{R}$ be the operator corresponding to them, and let S be the operator associated with the mapping R by Lemma 2.4 of [6]. Let $T: S^*E^* \rightarrow M$ be an operator for which $\langle x, Tf \rangle = \langle x, f \rangle$ for $x \in X, f \in S^*E^*$ and $\|T\| < \mu$. Set $T_1 = TS^*$. Then T_1 is a finite-dimensional operator mapping E^* into M , where $M, \|T_1\| \leq \|T\|\|S^*\| \leq \mu[(1 - \varepsilon)^{-1}\lambda \dim X + \lambda]$ and for $x \in X$ and $f \in E^*$, $\langle T_1^*x, f \rangle = \langle x, T_1f \rangle = \langle x, TS^*f \rangle = \langle x, S^*f \rangle = \langle Sx, f \rangle = \langle x, f \rangle$. Therefore $T_1^*x = x$ (E is considered as a subspace of E^{**}). By Lemma 3.1 of [6], there exists a weakly* continuous

operator $S_1: E^* \rightarrow T_1 E$ such that a) $\|f_1\| \leq \|T_1\|(1 + \varepsilon)$ and b) $S_1^* y = T_1^* y$, only if $T_1 y \in E$. The operator R_1 into E , to which S_1 is conjugate, is bounded by the expression $\mu[(1 - \varepsilon)^{-1} \lambda \dim X + \lambda](1 + \varepsilon)$, $R_1 x = x$ and $R_1^* E^* \subset M$. The number ε may be chosen sufficiently small so that $\|R_1\| < \lambda \mu$.

In the case of a separable space E , the set of approximating operators \mathfrak{R} becomes a sequence R_n , pointwise converging to the unit operator I . We recall that for each of the equivalent definitions [8], the operator inverse to the linear continuous injective operator $A: X \rightarrow Y$, where X and Y are normed spaces, is called linearly finite-dimensionally regularizable, if there exists a sequence of linear continuous finite-dimensional operators $B_n: Y \rightarrow X$, for which for any $y \in AX$, $\|B_n y - A^{-1} y\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

THEOREM 5. Let A be a linear continuous injective operator from the separable Banach space X into the normed space Y . The following statements are equivalent:

- 1) A^{-1} is linearly finite-dimensionally regularizable;
- 2) X has the BAP, and for the subspace $M = A^* Y^* \subset X^*$, $\text{sr}(M) > 0$.

Proof. If B_n are the operators approximating A^{-1} , then the operators $B_n A$ approximate the unit operator, and therefore are bounded in total, so that $(B_n A)^* \subset M$. Then by Theorem 4 $\text{sr}(M) > 0$; therefore we have established the implication 1) \Rightarrow 2). It follows from 2) and the first part of Theorem 4 that there exists a sequence of linear continuous finite-dimensional operators $R_n: X \rightarrow X$, converging to I , for which $R_n^* X^* \subset M$. This condition ensures that A^{-1} is linearly finite-dimensionally regularizable [8].

LITERATURE CITED

1. Yu. I. Petunin and A. N. Plichko, The Theory of Characteristics of Subspaces and Its Applications [in Russian], Vishcha Shkola, Kiev (1980).
2. B. V. Godun and M. I. Kadets, "The set of values of the characteristic of subspaces of a conjugate space," in: The Theory of Functions, Functional Analysis and Its Applications [in Russian], Vol. 29, Kharkov (1978), pp. 25-31.
3. B. V. Godun, "Normalizing subspaces in certain conjugate Banach spaces," Mat. Zametki, 29, No. 4, 549-555 (1981).
4. B. V. Godun, "Unconditional bases and spanning bases of sequences," Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved., Mat., No. 10, 69-72 (1980).
5. T. Kato, Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators, Springer-Verlag (1966).
6. W. B. Johnson, H. P. Rosenthal, and M. Zippin, "On bases, finite-dimensional decompositions and weaker structures in Banach spaces," Isr. Math. J., 9, 488-506 (1971).
7. L. V. Kantorovich and G. P. Akilov, Functional Analysis [in Russian], Nauka, Moscow (1977).
8. V. A. Vinokurov and A. N. Plichko, "The regularizability of linear inverse problems by linear methods," Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 229, No. 5, 1037-1040 (1976).